Empirical Investigation of IT Diffusion Drivers in Developed & Developing Countries

Ali Asghar Anvary Rostamy¹

Received: 2/2/2009

Accepted: 17/11/2009

Abstract

This paper provides an empirically based insight into IT diffusion drivers in developed and developing countries. For this purpose, a new conceptual model with five main factors has been provided and tested using data from 34 developed and 209 developing countries in 2008. The results explore major role of factor "Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers: TRKS) in promoting IT diffusion in both developed and developing countries, and the importance of factor "Financial Resources" in accelerating IT diffusion in developing countries. The results can help IT policy-makers improve greater IT diffusion in a way that developing countries can take advantage of what already being enjoyed by the developed world.

Keywords: IT diffusion drivers, Developing countries, Developed counties, Trade related knowledge spillovers.

^{1.} Associate Professor, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran, E-mail:anvary@modares.ac.ir

1. Introduction

Many specialists believe that IT diffusion helps countries accelerate their development (Winston, 2009). Although many countries have experienced the benefits of IT diffusion (Kim et al. 2009), however, IT investments and diffusion had a and significant relationship positive with productivity growth at the macroeconomic level in developed countries, but not in developing countries (James, 2009). Hence, one of the key questions of researchers now becomes what factors influence IT diffusion in developed and developing countries. Moreover, it is rational if we expect that developing economies have different drivers for IT diffusion than their wealthier brethren. In spite of several studies on IT diffusion (such as Shih et al. 2008), only a few empirical studies have investigated the relationship between factors influencing the level of IT diffusion in developed and developing countries.

This paper aims at offering an empirically based insight into IT diffusion drivers. It will discuss how IT diffusion drivers may be correlated differently with IT diffusion levels in developed and developing countries. In other words, it explains whether there are differences in these factors between developed and developing countries. It is anticipated that result of this research can improve greater IT diffusion in a way that developing countries can take advantage of what already being enjoyed in the developed world and aid policy makers in their future strategies. There are some major differences between the peresent study and that was conducted by Shih, Kenneth, Kraemer and Dedrick (2008). Although both researches study Resources to Make Investment (RMI), Structure of the Economy (SE), and the Quality of General Infrastructural (QGI) factors, however, their measures are almost different. Moreover, we examined the effects of two new factors named Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers (TRKS) and the Easiness of Doing Business and Competiveness (EDBC) as well.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Next section of the paper briefly explains the literature. Section 3 introduces the theoretical framework, the conceptual and operational models, and the research hypotheses. The data and methodology described in section 4. The data analysis presented in section 5. Finally, the paper ends with a brief summary, conclusions and final remarks.

2. The Literature

2.1. Knowledge Spillovers and Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers

Today, there is a widespread belief among the specialist about the fundamental role of technological change and knowledge to the competitiveness and long-term growth of economies. In fact, knowledge creation is the engine for economic growth. The importance of knowledge and information has increased with the formation about the new economy and advances in Information and Communication Technologies (ICT).

There are different ways by which a firm, a country or a group of countries (such as developed or/and developing countries) can receive new technologies and information. One of the cheapest ways is called Knowledge Spillover (KS). The non-appropriable amount of knowledge generated by a firm's or country's innovation efforts is called KS.

KS among different economic units are one of the most intriguing aspects of technological innovations and are of great importance for public policy making. There are several papers that analyze the impact of technology and information on productivity. On the other hands, the literature shows that most of recent attentions have shifted from analysis of the productivity enhancing impact of technology to knowledge diffusion of new knowledge among people, especially to IT.

Coe, Helpman and Hoffmaister (1997) discuss that foreign knowledge can be transferred by several channels (such as by imports of intermediate and capital goods, cross-border learning of production methods, product design and organization, imitation of new products, development of technologies, and imitation of foreign technology). This argument let researcher to examine links between KS through trade and output or productivity growth. Accordingly, Coe et al. (1997) argue that developing countries can benefit from trading with industrial countries with a large stock of knowledge from their past R&D activities and investments and examine the extent to which developing countries benefit from R&D is performed in industrial countries. They study the relationship between countries total factor productivity with their investment in R&D, the degree of openness to trades that is measured by imports of machinery and equipments relative to Growth Domestic Productions (GDP), the quality of human forces that is measured by secondary school enrolment ratio, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) as proxy of foreign R&D capital stock, and imports from industrial countries as % of GDP. Using 22 OECD countries and 77 developing countries data, they find that R&D spillovers from industrial countries are robust and countries with open economies gain most.

Lee (2006) using data from 16 OECD countries for the period 1981-2000 examines the significance of international KS through inward and outward FDI, intermediate goods imports, and disembodied direct channel that is approximated using a measure of technological proximity and patent citations between countries. They study the effectiveness of four different major channels for international KS that have been investigated separately in previous studies by relating the national productivity and these channels. They conclude that although international KS are significant and substantial,

outward FDI and imports of intermediate goods are not conducive to international KS.

Falveya, Foster and Greenaway (2007) argue that although trade facilitate KS from developed to developing countries, the extent of KS benefits depend on domestic factors specifically relative backwardness and absorptive capacity. They relate growth rate of output per worker to growth of Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers (TRKS) and the ratio of investment to GDP, labor force growth, measure of schooling, the average ratio of imports plus exports to GDP (capturing other benefits of openness), and the measure of relative backwardness that is the proportional difference of initial GDP per worker in the recipient from that in the US. Their investigation on North-South TRKS show that absorptive capacity increases the benefits of knowledge spillovers, and that spillovers have least impact in countries closest to and farthest from the technological frontier.

2.2. IT Diffusion Drivers

Although various theoretical and empirical studies show that IT diffusion is correlated with the level of national wealth, other factors such as RMI, SE, QGI, EDBC and TRKS have been proved significant as well.

• *Resources for Making Investments* (*RMI*). Specialists believe that promoting IT diffusion level requires increasing investment in

IT and investment, in turn, requires the availability of capital either from internal sources (such as equity markets and domestic loans and credits) or from external sources (such as FDI and/or foreign aid). For developed countries, equity markets and for most developing countries foreign aid as a substitute for scarce domestic capital and stimulate plays a significant role [Shih et al., 2008]. Then, we would expect that RMI positively correlate with IT diffusion.

Structure of the Economy (SE). Various studies have noted that in financial services, the use of IT is much more pervasive and countries with larger financial services sectors have higher rates of diffusion in IT. Moreover, several earlier researches have found a significant positive association between the size of a country's services sector and IT investment and diffusion. Thus, one can expect the positive impact of the financial services sector on IT investment and diffusion to be more profound in developed countries than developing countries. [Kraemer and Dedrick, 1994; Caselli and Coleman, 2001; Robison and Crenshaw, 2002]. Accordingly, we would expect that there is a positive correlation between the sizes of a country's financial services sectors and IT diffusion level.

• Quality of General Infrastructures (QGI). Various studies show that effective adoption and usage of technologies such as IT requires strong infrastructures and good supplementary assets [Kraemer and Dedrick, 1994; Caselli and Coleman, 2001; Robison and Crenshaw, 2002; Shih et al. 2008, Al-mutawkkil, 2009; Hanafizadeh, 2009]. Falveya, Foster and Greenaway (2007) argue that trade facilitate KS from developed to developing countries, but the extent of KS benefits depend on domestic factors specifically relative backwardness and absorptive capacity. Accordingly, we would expect that there is a positive correlation between the quality of a country's infrastructures and absorptive capacity with IT diffusion level.

• Easiness of Doing Business and Competition (EDBC). Numerous studies have noted that the EDBC facilitates the technology adoption and diffusion. Several measures have been introduced to quantify the easiness of doing business and soundness of a competitive climate in a country. Ten measures that is widely used by international organizations (such as World Bank) are as follows:

1. Easiness of starting a business [Djankov et al., 2002]

2. Easiness of dealing with licenses [http://www.doingbusiness.org/Documents/Survey_I nstruments/DL_2008_Eng.pdf],

3. Easiness of employing workers [Botero et al., 2004]

4. Easiness of registering property [http://www.

doingbusiness.org/Documents/Survey.Instruments/R P_2008_Eng.pdf],

5. Easiness of getting credit [Djankov et al., 2007]

6. Easiness of protecting investors [Djankov et al., 2006

7. Paying taxes [Djankov et al., 2008]

8. Easiness of trading across borders [Djankov et al., 2007]

9. Enforcing contracts [Djankov et al., 2003]

10.Easiness of closing a business [Djankov et al., 2006;

http://www.doingbusiness.org/MethodologySurveys]

Accordingly, we would expect that there is a positive correlation between the easiness of doing business and IT diffusion level.

Openness to External Influences and Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers (TRKS). IT diffusion requires a broad range of technical and managerial knowledge. Researchers believe that foreign trade facilitates the diffusion of such knowledge across borders and FDI has a positive impact on technical progress in the host country [Coe et al., 1997; Barrell and Pain, 1997]. Greater external openness should lead to more rapid diffusion of technologies into a country because multinational firms bring with them business practices that rely intensively on IT and knowledge of how to use IT productively. Moreover, openness to trade forces a country to greater international competition, driving IT investment and diffusion as a tool of survival, and to adopt IT to meet the requirements of foreign suppliers or customers. Thus, we would expect that there is a positive correlation between the level of openness and the use of IT and that the impacts

would be more significant for developing countries. [Coe et al., 1997; Barrell and Pain, 1997; Shih et al., 2008]

The TRKS literature implies that:

• KS is one of the cheapest ways of receiving knowledge

• R&D spillovers from industrial countries are robust

• Countries with open economies gain the most

• The effects of inward and outward FDI are not similar

• Absorptive capacity increases the benefits of knowledge spillovers

• KS have the least impact in countries closest to and farthest from the technological frontier.

Table 1 summarizes the literature on IT diffusion drivers.

Table I Summary of literature on I I diffusion driver	Table 1	Summarv	of literature	on IT	diffusion	drivers
---	---------	---------	---------------	-------	-----------	---------

Factors	Related References				
Resources for technology investments	[Shih et al., 2008]				
Structure of the economy	[Kraemer and Dedrick, 1994; Caselli and Coleman, 2001; Robison and Crenshaw, 2002]				
Openness to external influences and	[Coe et al., 1997; Barrell and Pain, 1997; Shih et al., 2008]				
Related Knowledge Spillovers (TRKS)	[Coe et al., 1997; Lee, 2006; Falveya et al., 2007; Deng, 2008; Shih et al., 2008]				
Infrastructures	Echanic et al. 2007: Known and Dadrick 1004: Cocalli and Colomon				
mitastructures	2001; Robison and Crenshaw, 2002; Shih et al., 2008]				
Easiness of Doing Business and	[Djankov et al., 2002; Djankov et al., 2003; Botero et al., 2004; Djankov et				
Competition	al., 2007; Djankov et al., 2008]				
1	[[http://www.doingbusiness.org/Documents/Survey_Instruments/				
Gire	RP_2008_Eng.pdf]				
0	[http://www.doingbusiness.org/Documents/Survey_Instruments/				
	DL_2008_Eng.pdf]				
	[http://www.doingbusiness.org/MethodologySurveys				

3. The Model and Research Hypotheses

Figure 1 shows the conceptual model of this research. In this model, IT diffusion relates to five main factors; TRKS, RMI, SE, EDBC, and

QGI. Table 2 describes types of variables, IT diffusion drivers or the factors, and the measures that quantify each factors.



Figure 1 The Conceptual Model

Type of Variables	Factors	Measures	
-51		1. Stock of direct foreign investment - abroad	(X11)
		2. Stock of direct foreign investment - at home	(X12)
		3. Foreign trade (Exports)	(X13)
	Trade Related Knowledge	4. Foreign trade (Imports)	(X14)
	Spillovers-TRKS	5. Foreign companies listed in country	(X15)
		1. Reserves of foreign exchange and gold (X2.	1)
		2. Investment (gross fixed) (X22	?)
		3. Public debt (X2.	3)
		4. Debt - external (X24	t)
	Resources to Make Investment-	5. Economic aid – donor (X2.	5)
	RMI	6. Economic aid – recipient (X20	5)
		7. Market value of publicly traded shares (X2)	7)
		1. Unemployment rate (X3	1)
		2. Population below poverty line (X3)	2)
	Structure of the Economy-SE	3. Inflation rate (consumer prices) (X3.	3)
		4. Labor forces by occupation in services (X34	1)
	. 4.	5. Industrial production growth rate (X3)	;)
Independent	Gen III	6. GDP real growth rate (X30	5)
Variables	ومطالعات كراتج	1. Ease of doing business (X4))
v al labies	U	2. Starting a business (X42	?)
		3. Dealing with licenses (X43))
	*/ ·//	4. Employing workers (X44	.)
	16012	5. Registering property (X4)	<i>i</i>)
		6. Getting credit (X40	<i>i)</i>
	Easiness of Doing Business &	7. Protecting investors (X4)	')
	Competitiveness-EDBC	8. Paying taxes (X4	3)
		9. Trading across borders (X49	り
		10. Enforcing contracts (X41	0)
		11. Closing a business (X4)	<u>1)</u>
		1. Literacy (XS.	1)
		2. Age structure 15-64 years (X5.	2) 2)
		3. Median age (XS	5)
	Quality of Canad	4. HIV/AIDS (X3)	4) E)
	Quality of General	5. Infant mortality rate (X5))) ()
	Ingrastructural-QGI	0. 10tal leftlifty rate (XS))) 7)
	Waalth	1. CDD per comito (X5)	<u>)</u>
Donondont Variable	wealin Information Tools - 1	1. UDP - per capita (X36	V)
Dependent variable	Diffusion ITD (V)		1)
	Dyjusion-IID (Y)		

Table 2 Type of variables, affective factors and measures

* We used mean rank of each country in terms of 5 measures. The measures that quantify IT Diffusion are internet hosts, internet users, telephones main lines in use, telephones- mobile cellular, and television - broadcast stations.

This research investigates the following hypotheses:

H1: TRKS correlates with IT diffusion level

H11: Stock of direct foreign investment abroad correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H12: Stock of direct foreign investment at home correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H13: Exports correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H14: Imports correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H15: Number of foreign companies listed in an economy correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H2: RMI correlates with IT diffusion level

H21: Reserves of foreign exchange and gold correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H22: Investment (gross fixed) correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H23: Public debts correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H24: External debts correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H25: Economic aid as a donor correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H26: Economic aid as recipient correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H27: Market value of publicly traded shares correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H3: SE correlates with IT diffusion level

H31: Unemployment rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level

H32: Population below poverty line correlates negatively with IT diffusion level

H33: Inflation rate or consumer prices correlate negatively with IT diffusion level

H34: Labor forces in services correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H35: Industrial production growth rate correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H36: GDP real growth rate correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H4: EDBC correlate with IT diffusion level

H41: Easiness of doing business rank correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H42: Easiness of starting a business correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H43: Easiness of dealing with licenses correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H44: Easiness of employing workers correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H45: Easiness of registering property correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H46: Easiness of getting credit correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H47: Easiness of protecting investors' correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H48: Easiness of paying taxes correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H49: Easiness of trading across borders correlate positively with IT diffusion level

H410: Easiness of enforcing contracts correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H411: Easiness of closing a business correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H5: QGI correlates to IT diffusion.

H51: The level of literacy correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H52: The population in age 15-64 years correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H53: Median age correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H54: The population with HIV/AIDS correlates negatively with IT diffusion level

H55: Infant mortality rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level

H56: Total fertility rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level

H57: Life expectancy at birth correlates positively with IT diffusion level

H58: GDP per capita correlates positively with IT diffusion level

Our main idea is that the factors shaping IT diffusion in developing countries differ from those in developed ones. Figure 2 shows the operational model of this research.

Trade Related Knowledge Spillovers (TRKS)	+H11	-				Doing Business Competitive	eness
Stock of direct foreign investment abroad	(X11)	+H12				+ H42	Ease of doing business rank	(X41)
Stock of direct foreign investment at home	(X12)	1 11 12		i		+11+2	Starting a business	(X42)
Trade (Exports)	(X12)	+1115	h i	λ /	_	+H43	Dealing with licenses	(X43)
	(AIS)	+H14	1			+H44		
Trade (Imports)	(X14)	+H15	N		_	+H45	Employing workers	(X44)
Foreign companies listed in country	(X15)	17					Registering property	(X45)
			HI	127	H4	+H40	Getting credit	(X46)
Resources to Make Investment	_	. 1121		Information	< b	+H47	Getting creat	(140)
Pasaryas of foreign exchange and gold	(Y21)	+H21 +H22		Technology	-	+H48	Protecting investors	(X47)
Investment (gross fixed)	(X22)	+ H23	H2	Diffusion	1	+H49	Paying taxes	(X48)
Public debt	(X23)	+H24		(Y)		+H410	Trading across borders	(X49)
Debt - external	(X24)	1125				+H411	Enforcing contracts	(X410)
Economic aid – donor	(X25)	+H25		Y)			Closing a business	(X411)
Economic aid – recipient	(X26)	11120		tert and the	11	624		
Market value of publicly traded shares	(X27)	+H27	ومطا	للوهر الساحي	2015-	.3/	Quality of General Infrastru	ctur e
	-		H3		H5	+H51		
Structure of the Economy		-H31	* i I	10 201 .	1.00	+H52	Literacy	(X51)
Structure of the Beonomy		-1151	T C	2 CVa	JUX	+H53	Age structure 15-64 years	(X52)
Unemployment rate	(X31)	-H32	+				Median age	(X53)
Population below poverty line	(X32)	-H33				=H54	HIV/AIDS	(X54)
Inflation rate (consumer prices)	(X33)	+H34				_H55	Infant mortality rate	(X55)
	(100)		1			=H56	Total fertility rate	(X56)
Labor forces in services and industry	(X34)	+H35	4			+H57	Life expectancy at birth	(X57)
Industrial production growth rate	(X35)	+H36				+ H58	GDP - per capita	(X6)
GDP real growth rate	(X36)		-					

Figure 2 The Operational Model

4. Data and Methodology

We developed model of IT drivers to identify which are correlated with IT diffusion in the entire sample of developed and developing countries. We used multiple measures to capture the factors hypothesized to correlate with the level of IT diffusion.

In order to test the hypotheses, we divide the sample into developed and developing countries. Developed countries usually have economic systems based on continuous, selfsustaining economic growth in the tertiary and quaternary sectors and high standards of living. Countries not fitting this definition may be developing referred to as countries. Accordingly, developed countries are Andorra, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, China, Denmark, Faroe Islands, Finland, France, Germany, Gibraltar, Greece, Hong Kong, Iceland, Ireland, Territories not administered by the Palestinian authority, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Netherlands, New Malta. Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Singapore, Spain, South Korea, Sweden, Slovenia. Switzerland, Taiwan, United Kingdom, and United States of America (n=34). Countries not including in this list referred to as developing countries (n=209).

The main part of data is based on a wellstructured international database for year 2008 [http://www.theodora.com/wfb]. This website strategizes historical information in the Library of Congress, World Fact Books and some other major international databases such as UNCTAD, World Bank database, International Monetary Funds (IMF) database, as well as some other international geography, economic, social and cultural related organizations. In order to calculate rank of each country, in terms of number of foreign companies listed there, we used final World Exchange database for 2007 [http://www.world-exchange.org].

As mentioned earlier, we used multiple measures to capture the factors hypothesized to correlate with the level of IT diffusion. We used ranks of countries in terms of each measure of independent variable and in order to measure the dependent variable, IT diffusion level, we used mean rank of each country in terms of five different measures of ICT (internet hosts, internet users, telephones main lines in use, telephones- mobile cellular, and televisionbroadcast stations).

In order to analyze the data and compare the correlation coefficients in developed and developing counties, first we applied simple regression method. Since a systematic analysis of correlations requires incorporating all factors in a unique regression equation, we applied stepwise regression method as well. In order to adjust data for Skeweness, logarithm of data was applied. Finally, we have reported the results in terms of research hypotheses in developed and developing counties.

5. Results of Data Analysis

5.1. Simple Regression Analysis

Table 3 shows the results of simple regression analysis for developed and developing countries. For developing countries most of research hypotheses (H1, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H2, H21, H22, H23, H24, H26, H27, H3, H34, H35, H36, H4, H41, H42, H43, H44, H45, H46, H47, H48, H49, H410, H411, H5, H51, H52, H53, H56, H57, H58) were significantly supported. In contrast, we could not find empirical evidences for H25, H31, H32, H33, H54, and H55. The results imply that all five factors (TRKS, RMI, SE, EDBC and QGI) significantly correlated with IT diffusion level in developing countries.

For developed countries, H1, H11, H12, H13, H14, H2, H21, H22, H23, H24, H25, H27, H31, H36, H4, H41, H42, H43, H44, H45, H46, H47, H49, H410, H411, H5, H51, H54 were significantly supported. In contrast, we could not find empirical evidences for H15, H26, H3, H32, H33, H34, H35, H48, H52, H53, H55, H56, H57 and H58. The results imply TRKS, RMI, EDBC and QGI significantly correlated with IT diffusion level in developed countries but not with SE.

5.2. Stepwise Regression Analysis

We have applied multivariate stepwise regression method for developed and developing countries. Tables 4 and 5 show results of data analysis for developed and developing countries. Table 4 implies that *X14*, *X48*, and *X43* have significant correlation with IT diffusion in developed countries. The regression equation that defines the relation between them is as following

(1)

Lny = 2.042897 + 0.62lnx14 - 0.411lnx48 + 0.300lnx43

By Removing the constant value from the equation (1) and using standard beta coefficients, the final equation becomes as Equation (2).

(2) Lny = 0.782lnx14 - 0.437lnx48 + 0.335lnx43

Table 5 shows *X13*, *X48*, *X6*, *X25*, *X27*, *X49*, *X42*, *X31* have correlation with IT diffusion and the regression equation that defines the relation is as Equation 3:

$$\label{eq:loss} \begin{split} Lny = & 10.729 + 0.897 lnx 13 - 0.084 lnx 48 - 0.109 lnx 6 - 3.141 lnx 25 \\ & + 0.156 lnx 27 - 0.111 lnx 49 + 0.069 lnx 42 - 0.037 lnx 31 \end{split}$$

By Removing the constant value from the equation (3) and using standard beta coefficients, the final equation will be as Equation (4):

(4) Lny=0.942lnx13-0.107lnx48-0.112lnx6-0.058lnx25+ 0.120lnx27-0.118lnx49+0.069lnx42-0.048lnx31

Equations (2) and (4) clearly show that there is a significant differences between developed and developing countries in terms of IT diffusion drivers.

⁽³⁾

elationshins as following	= In10.030+0.457InX53 or Y =10.030X530457 +(-4.509/X5) (<i>the general-squattoris</i> In y = a + (b1 /x) 4957.108X33-0.072X35 ² Cubic. LnY=105504+1.684X 4957.108X33-0.072X35 ² And LnY. Just we found Oudnitic and Cubic n	Power LnY = InY = S-curve InY = 4.010 Quadratic LnY = 206.4 -There is no linear relation	0.000000.56	Innear relation between LNXSs and La Y, out we found Quadratic and Cubic relationships with LnY = 151,4321,082X56 0.006X56 ² Cubic LnY = (33,032-0,756X560,019X56 ² +	- there is no im Quadratic Ln
Adne zon	I NO Linear of Non linear relation	•There is no linear relation	1- /3.19410.3930.00	Civir per capita conceasity positively with 11 officiation level	000
Not Suppo	No Linear of Non linear relation	Supported	X-71 101020301V60	Cipa	100
Not Suppo	No Linear or Non linear relation	Not Supported	4	Total fertility rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level	HS6
Not Suppo	No Linear or Non linear relation	Not Supported	Y= 101 902+0,114X55	Infant mortality rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level	HSS
Not Supp	Y=-26.219+1.030X54	Not Supported	Y= 66 161+0 595X54	The population with HIV/AIDS correlates negatively with IT diffusion level	HS4
Not Supp	No linear power and S-curve relation.	Supported	Y= 71.136+0.438XS3	Modian age correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HS3
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Supported	Y= 75.145+0.470X52	The population in age 15-64 years correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H52
Support	Y= 35 854+0 850X51	Supported	Y= 89.849+0.347X51	The level of literacy correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HSI
Support	Y= 3 205+2 432QGI	Supported	Y= 66.068+0.798QGI	QGI correlates with IT-diffusion level	HS
Support	Y= 32 055+0 496X411	Supported	Y= 43 576+0 560X411	Easiness of closing a business correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HAII
Support	Y= 33 040+0 350X410	Supported	Y= 49 082+0 \$26X410	Easiness of enforcing contracts correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HAIO
Support	V= 10.462+0.471X49	Sumorted	Y= 48 90640 524X49	Easiness of trading across borders correlate positively with IT diffusion level	H49
Subdout	Y = 29 60540 J 23X47	Supponed	V= 87 58410 310V48	Eastness of protecting investors correlates positively with 11 diffusion level	HAR
Support	Y= 29.702+0.4674X46	Supported	Y= 42,275+0 608X46	Easiness of getting credit correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H46
Support	Y= 30 532+0313X45	Supported	Y= 54,594+0,492X45	Easiness of registering property correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H45
Support	Y= 30,265+0 252X44	Supported	Y= 81,610+0,272X44	Easiness of employing workers correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H44
Suppor	Y= 23.883+0.466X43	Supported	Y= 80 905+0 272X43	Easiness of dealing with licenses correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H43
Suppor	Y= 33.419+0.338X42	Supported	Y= 61.210+0.429X42	Easiness of starting a business correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H42
Suppor	Y= 32 (50+0,455X41	Supported	Y= 45.967+0.547X41	Easiness of doing business rank correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HAI
Suppor	Y= 24 240+0 475EDBC	Supported	Y= 33 265+0 661 EDBC	EDNC positively correlates with IT diffusion Invel	HA
Not Supp	Ve Inchr but Quadratic and Cubic reation V= 148 340.1 \$71X36	Supported	Y= 61 862+0 976X36	GDP real arowth rate correlates positively with Tr diffusion level	HH6
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Supported	Y= 70 785+0 553X34	Labor forces in services and industry correlate positively with IT diffusion level	H94
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Not Supported	Y=75,333+0,681X33	Inflation rate or consumer prices correlate negatively with IT diffusion level	HUD
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Not Supported	Y= 92,979+0,344X32	Population below poverty line correlates negatively with IT diffusion level	HJ2
Suppor	Y=-42.238+1.032X31	Not Supported	Y= 101 698+0 171X31	Unemployment rate correlates negatively with IT diffusion level	HBI
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Supported	Y= 18,468+3 145SE	SE correlates with IT diffusion level	H3
Support	Y= 17,127+0.972X27	Supported	Y=-2.369+1.226X27	Market value of publicly traded shares correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H27
Not Support	No Linear or Non linear relation	Supported	Y= 7) 407+0 392X26	Economic aid as recipient correlates positively with T diffusion level	H26
Support	Y= 28.877+0,468X24	Supported	Y= 31,801+0,723X24	External debts correlate positively with IT diffusion level	H24
Suppor	Y= 13 330+0.705X23	Supported	Y= 40 704+0.814X23	Public debts correlate positively with IT diffusion level	H23
Suppor	Y=-8,241+0,872X22	Supported	Y= 52 527+0 790X22	Investment (gross fixed) correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H22
Suppor	Y= 11 294+0 667X21	Supported	Y= 13.871+0.849X21	Reserves of foreign exchange and gold correlates positively with IT diffusion level	H21
Suppor	Y= -62 144+2 248RMI	Supported	Y= -14.568+2.152RMI	RMI correlates with IT diffusion level	H2
Not Supp	No Linear or Non linear relation	Supported	Y= -35 848+6 101 X15	Number of foreign companies listed in economy correlates positively with IT diffusion level	FIIS
Suppor	Y= 21.711+0.630X14	Supported	Y= -24 915+0.675X14	Imports correlate positively with IT diffusion level	HI4
Suppor	Y= 21.086+0.706X13	Supported	Y=-21 176+0.70X13	Exports correlate positively with IT diffusion level	£IH
Suppor	Y= 16 203+1,128X12	Supported	Y=-29.826+1.9967X12	Stock of direct foreign investment at home correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HI2
Suppor	Y= 20 306+1 113X11	Supported	Y=-38 125+2 237X11	Stock of direct foreign investment abroad correlates positively with IT diffusion level	HII
Suppor	Y= 15.653+1.073TRKS	Supported	Y= -13.725+1.486TRKS	TRKS correlate with IT diffusion level	HI
Resul	Simple Regression Equations For Developed Countries	Results	Simple Regression Equations For Developing Countries	es Hypotheses Statements for Developed and Developing Countries	Hypotheses

					ANOVA					
	Model	Sum of	df	Mean	F	Sig	Ce	onsiderations		
		Squares		Square						
1	Regression	16.498	1	16.498	66.298	0.000	Predictors: (Constar	t), <i>lnX14</i>		
	Residual	6.469	33	0.249			Dependent Variable	:lnY		
	Total	22.965	34							
2	Regression	17.760	2	8.880	42.657	0.000 Predictors: (Constant), lnX1-			8	
	Residual	5.204	32	0.208			Dependent Variable	:lnY		
	Total	22.965	34				*			
3	Regression	19.106	3	6.369	39.606	0.000	0.000 Predictors: (Constant), lnX14, lnX48, ln			
	Residual	3.859	31	0.161			Dependent Variable	:lnY		
	Total	22.965	34							
			Coefficien							
	Model	Unsta	ındardi	zed Coefficien	ıts	Standardized Coefficients		t	Sig	
		В		Std. Erro	or		Beta			
1	Constant	1.386		0.289			0.848	4.796	0.000	
	lnX14	0.672		0.083				8.142	0.000	
2	Constant	2.172		0.414				5.244	0.000	
	lnX14	0.695		0.76			0.876	9.134	0.000	
	lnX48	-0.222		0.90			-0.236	-2.465	0.021	
3	Constant	2.042		0.367				5.565	0.000	
	nX14	0.620		0.072			0.782	8.654	0.000	
	lnX48	-0.411		0.103			-0.437	-4.003	0.001	
	lnX43	0.300		0.104			0.335	2.893	0.008	

Table 4 ANOVA and coefficients of the model for developed countries

Table 5 ANOVA and coefficients of the model for developing countries

ANOVA										
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig	Consid	erations		
1	Regression Residual Total	90.779 14.479 105.259	1 208 209	90.779 0.067	1347.966	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), Dependent Variable: In	lnX13 1Y		
2	Regression Residual Total	92.525 12.734 105.259	2 207 209	46.263 0.060	777.486	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), Dependent Variable: In	Predictors: (Constant), <i>lnX13,lnX48</i> Dependent Variable: <i>lnY</i>		
3	Regression Residual Total	93.694 11.565 105.259	3 206 209	31.231 0.054	575.208	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), Dependent Variable: In	Predictors: (Constant), <i>lnX14</i> , <i>lnX48</i> , <i>lnX38</i> Dependent Variable: <i>lnY</i>		
4	Regression Residual Total	94.091 11.168 105.259	4 205 209	23.523 0.053	446.535	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), Dependent Variable: In	lnX14, lnX48, lnX. 1Y	38, InX25	
5	Regression Residual Total	94.566 10.693 105.529	5 204 209	18.913 0.051	373.201	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), lnX25, lnX27 Dependent Variable: ln	lnX14, lnX48, l 1Y	nX38,	
6	Regression Residual Total	94.932 10.327 105.259	6 203 209	15.822 0.049	321.741	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), <i>lnX14</i> , <i>lnX48</i> , <i>ln</i> <i>lnX25</i> , <i>lnX27</i> , <i>lnX49</i> Dependent Variable: <i>lnY</i>		X38,	
7	Regression Residual Total	95.208 10.050 105.259	7 202 209	13.601 0.048	282.845	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), lnX25, lnX27,lnX49, ln Dependent Variable: ln	lnX14, lnX48, l 1X42 1Y	nX38,	
8	Regression Residual Total	95.447 8.811 105.259	8 201 209	11.931 0.047	252.935	0.000	Predictors: (Constant), lnX25, lnX27,lnX49, ln Dependent Variable: ln	Predictors: (Constant), <i>InX14</i> , <i>InX48</i> , <i>InX3</i> InX25, InX27, InX49, InX42, InX31 Dependent Variable: InY		
				(Coefficients		10			
	Model		Uns	tandardized (Coefficients	9	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig	
			E	3	Std. Err	or	Beta			
1	Constant lnX14		10.1 0.8	729 97	3.731 0.035		0.942	2.875 25.668	0.004 0.000	
	lnX48 lnX48		-0.0	084 .09	0.20 0.022		-0.107 -0.112	-4.152 -4.880	0.000	
	InX25 InX27 InX40		-3.1	41 56	1.195 0.047 0.031		-0.058 0.120 0.118	-0.058 -2.628 0.00 0.120 3.340 0.00		
	lnX42 lnX31		-0.0	69)37	0.028 0.016		-0.069 -0.048	2.452 -2.250	0.015 0.025	

Tables 6 and 7 show results of the examination of the model for developing and developed countries conceptual model. They study the correlations between each factors (TRKS, RMI,

SE, EDBC, QGI) and IT diffusion level in developed and developing countries. As shown in Table 6, the regression equation for developed countries defined can be as ln*Y*=1.403+0.709TRKS. By removing the constant value from the equation and using standard beta coefficients, the final equation will be as $\ln Y=0.767TRKS$. It means that in developed countries, TRKS is the only variable that has significant correlation with IT diffusion.

					Model Su	mmary					
Model R			R Square	Adjusted R Square		Std. Err Esti	or of the mate	Considerations			
1	0.7	67	0.588 0.573 0.60299 Predictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spille Dependent Variable: InY					Spillovers			
ANOVA											
Model	Sum of	Squares	df	Mean	an Square F Sig Considerations						
1 Regression Residual Total	13. 9.4 22.	511 54 965	1 33 34	13 0.	3.511 .364	37.160	0.000 Predictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spillov Dependent Variable: InY			Spillovers	
Coefficients											
					Coeffic	ients					
Model		U	nstandardized Coefficients		nts	Standardized Coefficients				t	Sig
		В		Std. Error		Beta		a			
1 Constant Trade Knowledge Spil	1 Constant 1 Trade Knowledge Spillovers 0		3 9	0.380 0.116			0.767			3.696 6.096	0.001 0.000
				ŀ	Excluded V	Variables					
Model	Bea In	t	Sig	Partial Con	relation	Collinearity Statis	Statistics Considerations		lerations		
				\sim			Tolerance				
1 Resource to Make In	vestment		0.338	2.018	0.054	0.374		0.504	0.504 Predictors in the Model:		the Model:
Structure of the Econ	omy		-0.144	-1.148	0.262	-0.22	24	0.999		(Constant), 7	rade Related
Doing Business and C	Competitiver	ess	-0.105	-0.719	0.479	-0.14	12	0.758		Knowledge S	Spillovers
Ouality of General In	frustructure		0.119	0.619	0.542	0.12	3	0.441		Dependent V	'ariable: lnY

Table 6: Model summary, ANOVA and coefficients of the model for developed countries

Table 7: Model summary, ANOVA a	nd coefficients of the model for	developing countries
---------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------

					1	Mode	i Summa	ry						
Model	R	R Square	Adjus	ted R Square	e Std	. Error of the	he Estimate	X		Consideratio	ns			
1	0.879	0.772		0.771		0.334	00	Predictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spillovers						
2	0.883	0.780		0.778		0.328	62	Predictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spillovers, Recourses to Make In						
3	0.888	0.789		0.786	in a la constante de la consta	0.322	59	Predictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spillovers, Recourses to Make Inv.						
								Doing Business Competitiveness						
						-		Dependent Variable: InY						
						A	NOVA							
M	odel	Sum of	Squares	df	M	ean Square	F	Sig		Consi	derations			
1 Reg	ression	81.	274	1	1.1	81.274	728.549	0.000	Predi	ctors: (Constant), Trad	e Knowledge Spil	lovers		
Resi	idual	23.	985	208		0.112			Depe	ndent Variable: lnY				
Tota	ıl	105	.259	209										
2 Reg	ression	82.	149	2		41.074	380.347	0.000	Predi	ictors: (Constant), Trade Knowledge Spillovers, Recours				
Resi	idual	23.	110	207	10 . [.]	0.108	21 11 4	102 K	Make Investment					
Tota	ıl .	105	.259	209		10/21	1 P	200	Depe	naent variable: in f				
3 Reg	ression	83.	093	3		27.698	266.166	0.000	Predi	ctors: (Constant), Trade	Knowledge Spillove	rs, Recourses to		
Resi	idual	22.	165	206		0.104		Make Investment, Doing Business Competitiveness						
Tota	ıl	105	.259	209				1.00	Dependent Variable: InY					
Coefficients														
Coefficients														
	Mod	el		U	nstandard	lized Coeffi	cients	Standardized Coeffic		zed Coefficients t		Sig		
				В		Std	. Error	I		Beta				
1 Constan	nt			-1.035		(0.209			-4.946 0.0		0.000		
Trade K	nowledge S	pillovers		1.286		(0.048	0.879		0.879	26.992	0.000		
2 Consta	nt			-1.471		().257				-5.732	0.000		
Trade K	nowledge S	pillovers		1.084		(0.085		0.741		2.784	0.000		
Resourc	es to Make	Investment		0.296		0	0.104		(0.165	2.845	0.005		
3 Constan	nt			-1.120		(0.278						-4.034	0.000
Trade K	nowledge S	pillovers		1.160		0	0.087		().793	13.338	0.000		
Resourc	es to Make	Investment,		0.320		0	0.102		().178	3.124	0.002		
Doing E	Business Co	npetitiveness		-0.167		(0.055		-1	0.114	-3.013	0.003		
]	Exclud	ed Varia	bles						
	Mod	el		Bea ln	t	Sig	Partial	Colline	arity	Co	onsiderations			
							Correlation	Statis	tics					
						1		Tolerance						
1 Resour	rce to Make	Investment		0.165	2.845	0.005	0.191	0.305 Predictors in the Model: (Constant) 7		del: (Constant). T	rade Related			
Structu	ire of the Ed	conomy		0.044	1.246	0.214	0.085	0.83	4	Knowledge Spillove	5			
Doing	Business an	d Competitiven	ess	-0.105	-2.723	0.007	-0.183	0.69	5	Dependent Variable	: lnY			
Quality	of General	Infrastructure	s	0.066	-1.707	0.089	-0.116	0.71	1	-				
2 Structu	ire of the Ec	conomy		0.008	0.197	0.844	0.014	0.71	3	Predictors in the Mo	del: (Constant), T	rade Related		
Doing 1	Business and	d Competitiven	ess	-0.114	-3.013	0.003	-0.202	0.69	0	Knowledge Spillove	rs, Resource to Ma	ake		
Quality	of General	Infrastructure	s	-0.067	-1.782	0.076	-0.121	0.71	0	Investment				
										Dependent Variable: lnY				

According Table 7, the regression equation for developing can be defined as following:

(5)

LnY = -1.057 + 1.125 lnTRK S + 0.364 lnRMI - 0.128 EDBC

By Removing the constant value from the equation (5) and using standard beta coefficients, the final equation will be as (6).

(6)

LnY = 0.769lnTRK S + 0.209lnRMI - 0.108EDBC

Equation (6) implies that TRKS, RMI and EDBC have significant correlations with IT diffusion in developing countries.

As a general conclusion, we find that among five factors, TRKS is the only factor that has positive and significant correlates with IT diffusion in both developed and developing countries, which support Coe et al. (1997), Lee (2006), Falveya et al. (2007), and Deng (2008). Moreover, we find that RMI plays a key role in developing countries.

6. Concluding Remarks

Many specialists believe that IT diffusion accelerates countries' development, but there is a few empirical researches on IT diffusion in developed and developing countries. This paper aims at offering an empirically based insight into IT diffusion drivers in developed and developing countries. It determines IT diffusion drivers in developed and developing countries. The empirical results imply that TRKS has significant positive correlation with IT diffusion in both developed and developing countries but in developing countries, TRKS, RMI and EDBC have significant correlations with IT diffusion. We found that because of the lack of financial resources in developed countries, RMI has a significant correlation with IT diffusion for developing countries but not for developed countries.

Generally speaking, the greater countries' openness and international trade through knowledge spillovers can develop IT diffusion in both developed and developing countries and that financial resources to make investment regards the other factor that helps developing countries accelerate their IT diffusion level.

References

- [1] Al-mutawkkil, A., Almas Heshmati and Junseok Hwang. (2009). Development of telecommunication and broadcasting infrastructure indices at the global level, Telecommunications Policy, 33(3-4), pp. 176-199.
- [2] Barrell, R., Pain, N., (1997). Foreign direct investment, technological change, and economic growth within Europe. Economic Journal 107(445), pp. 1770–1786.
- [3] Botero, J., Djankov, S., Porta R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F. C., (2004). The regulation of

labor. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 119(4), pp. 1339-1382.

- [4] Carlton, G. A., (2001). Knowledge spillovers: Cities' role in the new economy. Business Review Q4, pp. 17-26.
- [5] Caselli, F., Coleman II, W. J., (2001). Cross-country technology diffusion: The case of computers. The American Economic Review 91(2) Papers and Proceedings of the Hundred Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the American Economic Association, pp. 328-335.
- [6] Coe, D. T., Helpman, E., Hoffmaister, A. W., (1997). North-south R & D spillovers. The Economic Journal 107(440), pp. 134–149.
- [7] Dealing with Licenses: http://www.doingbusiness.org/Documents/
 Survey_Instruments/DL_ 2008 _ Eng.pdf (Accessed June 2008)
- [8] Djankov, S., La Porta, R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., (2002). The regulation of entry. Quarterly Journal of Economics 117(1), pp. 1-37.
- [9] Djankov, S., La Porta, R., López-De-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., (2003). Courts. Quarterly Journal of Economics 118, pp. 453-517.
- [10] Djankov, S., Freund, C., Pham, C. S.,(2006). Trading on time. Working paper WPS3909, Washington, DC: The World Bank.

- [11] Djankov, S., LaPorta, R., Lopez-De-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., (2008). The law and economics of self-Dealing. Journal of Financial Economics 88(3), pp. 430-465.
- [12] Djankov, S., Hart, O., McLiesh, C., Shleifer. A., (2006). Debt enforcement around the world. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working paper No. 12807.
- [13] Djankov, S., McLiesh, C., Shleifer, A.,
 (2007). Private credit in 129 countries. Journal of Financial Economics 84(2), pp. 299-329.
- [14] Djankov, S., Ganser, T., McLiesh, C., Ramalho, R., Shleifer A., (2008). The effect of corporate taxes on investment and entrepreneurship. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working paper No. 13756.
- [15] Falveya, R., Foster, N., Greenaway, D.,(2007). Relative backwardness, absorptive capacity and knowledge spillovers. Economics Letters 97(3), pp. 230-234.
- [16] Hanafizadeh. M.R., Saghaei, A. and Hanafizadeh, H., (2009). An index for crosscountry analysis of ICT infrastructure and access. Telecommunications Policy,33(7), pp. 385-405.
- [17] http://www.doingbusiness.org/Docume nts/Survey_Instruments/ DL_2008_Eng.pdf (Accessed June 2008)

- [18] International Trade Centre UNCTAD/WTO, Trade map, Available at: http://www.trademap .org (Accessed June 2008).
- [19] James. J., .2009. From the relative to the absolute digital divide in developing countries. Technological Forecasting and Social Chang,October 76 (8), pp. 1124-1129.
- [20] Kraemer, K. L., Dedrick, J., (1994). Payoffs from investment in information technology: Lessons from Asia-Pacific region. World Development 22(12), pp. 1921–1931.
- [21] Kim, Jae Kyeong, Jun Yong, Xianga, and Sangho Leeb (2009). The impact of IT investment on firm performance in China: An empirical investigation of the Chinese electronics industry. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 76(5), pp. 678-687.
- [22] Krugman, P., (1999). The role of geography in economic development..International Regional Science Review 22(2), pp. 142–161.
- [23] Lee, G., (2006). The effectiveness of international knowledge spillover channels. European Economic Review 50(8), pp. 2075-2088.
- [24] Registering Property: http://www.doingbusiness.org/Documents/S

urvey_Instruments/RP_ 2008_ Eng.pdf (Accessed June 2008)

- [25] Robison, K. K., Crenshaw, E. M., (2002). Post-industrial transformations and cyber-space: A cross-national analysis of Internet development. Social Science Research 31(3), pp. 334–363.
- [26] Shih, E., Kraemer, K. L., Dedrick, J.,(2008). IT Diffusion in Developing Countries. Communications of the ACM 51(2), pp. 43-48.
- [27] Tappeiner, G., Hauser, C., Walde, J.,(2008). Regional knowledge spillovers: Fact or artifact?. Research Policy 37(5), pp. 861-874.
- [28] Winston T. Lin. (2009). The business value of information technology as measured by technical efficiency: Evidence from country-level data. Decision Support Systems 46(4), pp. 865-874.
- [29] Yi D., (2008). The value of knowledge spillovers in the US semiconductor industry. International Journal of Industrial Organization 26(4), pp. 104-1058.

آزمون تجربی محرکهای بهکارگیری تکنولوژی اطلاعات در کشورهای توسعه یافته و در حال توسعه

على اصغر انواري رستمي ا

تاريخ پذيرش: ١٣٨٨/٨/٢٦

تاریخ دریافت: ۱۳۸۷/۱۱/۱٦

این مقاله نتایج آزمون تجربی محرکهای بهکارگیری تکنولوژی اطلاعات در کشورهای توسعه یافته و در حال توسعه را ارائه می نماید. جهت نیل به این هدف، ابتدا مدلی مفهومی متشکل از ۵ فاکتور اصلی ارائه گردیده است و سپس این مدل بر اساس داده های ۳۶ کشور توسعه یافته و ۲۱۱ کشور در حال توسعه در سال ۲۰۰۸ از جمله ایران مورد آزمون تجربی قرار گرفته است. نتایج بیانگر نقش مهم و برجسته و معنا دار فاکتور "انتقال دانش از طریق تجارت" یا فاکتور (Trade-Related Knowledge Spillover: TRKS) بر توسعه به کارگیری تکنولوژی اطلاعات در هر دو دسته از کشورهای توسعه یافته و در حال توسعه و همچنین نشانگر اهمیت معنادار فاکتور "منابع مالی جهت سرمایه گذاری" در شتاب بخشیدن به میزان به-کارگیری تکنولوژی اطلاعات در کشورهای در حال توسعه می باشد. نتایج حاصله را می توان ابزاری جهت سیاست سازان در راستای توسعه بهکارگیری تکنولوژی اطلاعات بشمار آورد به نحوی که کشورهای در حال توسعه را نیز قادر به بهره مندی از مزایایی نماید که کشورهای توسعه یافته تا کنون از آن بهره مند بوده اند.

واژگان کلیدی: محرکهای بهکارگیر تکنولوژی اطلاعات، کشورهای توسعه یافته، کـشورهای در حال توسعه، انتقال دانش مبتنی بر تجارت

۱. دانشیار و عضو هیأت علمی دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، <u>anvary@modares.ac.ir</u>